Pat McCrory, Governor Frank L. Perry, Secretary

W. David Guice, Commissioner

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairs of House Appropriations Subcommittee on Justice and Public Safety

Chairs of Senate Appropriations Committee on Justice and Public Safety

Chairs of the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Justice and Public Safety

FROM: Frank L. Perry, Secretary

W. David Guice, Commissioner

RE: Annual Treatment for Effective Community Supervision Report

DATE: March 1, 2016

Pursuant to G. S. 143b-1155(c), The Department of Public Safety's Division of Adult Correction shall report by March 1 of each year to the Chairs of the Senate and House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittees on Justice and Public Safety and the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Justice and Public Safety on the status of the Treatment for Effective Community Supervision (TECS) program.

G.S. 143B-1155(c), the Report shall include the following information:

- 1. The dollar amount and purpose of funds provided on a contractual basis to service providers for the previous fiscal year and the amount of any funds carried over from the previous fiscal year.
- 2. An analysis of offender participation data received, including the following:
 - a. The number of people on probation and post-release supervision that are in the priority population that received services.
 - b. The number of people on probation and post-release supervision that are in the priority population that did not receive services.
 - c. The number of people on probation and post-release supervision outside of the priority population that received services.
 - d. The type of services provided to these populations, including data on each program's utilization, capacity, and completion rates.
 - e. The rate of revocations and the educational progress and employment status of people who received services.
 - f. Other measures as determined appropriate.
- 3. The dollar amount needed to provide additional services to meet the needs of the priority population in the upcoming budget year.
- 4. Details of personnel, travel, contractual, operating, and equipment expenditures for each program type. (2011-145, s. 19.1(h), (k); 2011-192, s. 6(b); 2012-83, s. 56.)

MAILING ADDRESS: 4220 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4220



OFFICE LOCATION:

512 N. Salisbury Street Raleigh, NC 27604 Telephone: (919) 733-4060 Fax: (919) 733-8002



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice

STATUS OF THE TREATMENT FOR EFFECTIVE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION PROGRAM G.S. 143B-1155(c)

March 1, 2016

Pat McCrory Governor W. David Guice Commissioner Frank L. Perry Secretary

I. Legislation

Pursuant to G. S. 143b-1155(c), The Department of Public Safety's Division of Adult Correction shall report by March 1 of each year to the Chairs of the Senate and House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittees on Justice and Public Safety and the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Justice and Public Safety on the status of the Treatment for Effective Community Supervision (TECS) program.

G.S. 143B-1155(c), the Report shall include the following information:

- 1. The dollar amount and purpose of funds provided on a contractual basis to service providers for the previous fiscal year and the amount of any funds carried over from the previous fiscal year.
- 2. An analysis of offender participation data received, including the following:
 - a. The number of people on probation and post-release supervision that are in the priority population that received services.
 - b. The number of people on probation and post-release supervision that are in the priority population that did not receive services.
 - c. The number of people on probation and post-release supervision outside of the priority population that received services.
 - d. The type of services provided to these populations, including data on each program's utilization, capacity, and completion rates.
 - e. The rate of revocations and the educational progress and employment status of people who received services.
 - f. Other measures as determined appropriate.
- 3. The dollar amount needed to provide additional services to meet the needs of the priority population in the upcoming budget year.
- 4. Details of personnel, travel, contractual, operating, and equipment expenditures for each program type. (2011-145, s. 19.1(h), (k); 2011-192, s. 6(b); 2012-83, s. 56.)

II. Introduction

The Justice Reinvestment Act was signed into law in June of 2011 (SL 2011-192). This body of legislation created the Treatment for Effective Community Supervision Program (TECS) which is to be administered by the Community Corrections section of the Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice. The program is designed to support the use of evidence-based practices to reduce recidivism and to promote coordination between state and community-based corrections programs.

National research studies indicate that Cognitive Behavioral Intervention (CBI) programming has significant impact on recidivism. Therefore, as part of the recidivism reduction strategy, the Department decided to designate a large portion of the TECS funding towards CBI

because historically this type of programming has not been readily available to the offender population in the community while substance abuse services typically are available.

Core services offered to offenders through TECS include cognitive behavioral interventions with booster sessions and a community based continuum of substance abuse services to include outpatient, intensive outpatient, and aftercare/recovery management services. Support services such as education, employment, and social supports based on offender needs must also be addressed by vendors through community linkages and collaboration.

The eligible population for TECS is defined as an adult offender who was convicted of a misdemeanor or a felony offense or is sentenced under the conditional discharge program as defined in G.S. 90-96 and meets any one of the following criteria:

- (1) Received a non-incarcerative sentence of a community punishment;
- (2) Received a non-incarcerative sentence of an intermediate punishment; and
- (3) Is serving a term of parole or post-release supervision after serving an active sentence of imprisonment.

The Justice Reinvestment legislation also defined priority populations for TECS programs as offenders convicted of a felony and offenders identified using a validated risk assessment instrument to have a high likelihood of reoffending and a moderate to high need for substance abuse treatment.

Statutorily, the eligible population includes essentially all offenders entering supervision during the year as well as those already under supervision with the exception of Driving While Impaired offenders however by definition they could be included in the priority population if assessed as high risk. The total eligible population for services is in excess of 160,000 and the priority population reflects a smaller number of 116,941 offenders. However, due to program capacity, the vendor community is unable to serve the priority population and as a result, officers within Community Corrections constantly struggle to prioritize or determine which offenders are in the greatest need of services. Additionally, the eligible population is harder to engage in services initially and ongoing engagement remains a challenge for the vendor and the officer throughout the duration of the program. The Department continues to reevaluate strategies to increase not only program capacity, offender engagement, and offender completion, but other services proven to assist in reducing recidivism for this challenging population.

G.S. 143B-1155(c), the Report shall include the following information:

1. The dollar amount and purpose of funds provided on a contractual basis to service providers for the previous fiscal year and the amount of any funds carried over from the previous fiscal year.

Previously the Department has reported on the total funding for the Treatment for Effective Community Supervision program which also included funds allocated under the Broaden Access to Community Treatment. The sum total of these funds for FY 2014-2015 was \$14M. However, this report provides program data on the TECS Program which is only one facet of community-based programming supported by these funds. As of FY 15-16, the program will be referred to as Recidivism Reduction Services (RRS) and the umbrella funding term, TECS, will refer to various community-based programs serving offenders under community supervision.

For FY 2014-2015, the Department awarded TECS contracts totaling \$ 9,821,428.46 to 32 different vendors serving offenders in 87 counties. The breakdown of the total indicates that 66% or \$6,450,770 was for CBI services, 24% or \$2,335,831 for substance abuse services, and 10% or \$1,034,826 for administrative costs. (The legislation allows vendors to receive up to 15% in administrative costs.) Appendix A provides a detailed breakdown by county for all three categories of spending for FY 2014-2015.

Legislation does not allow for TECS funding to be carried over to the next fiscal year.

- 2. An analysis of offender participation data received, including the following:
 - (a) The number of people on probation and post-release supervision that are in the priority population that received services.

In FY 2014-2015, TECS providers served a total of 8395 offenders in the priority population.

(b) The number of people on probation and post-release supervision that are in the priority population that did not receive services.

119,316 offenders in the priority population did not receive services.

- (c) The number of people on probation and post-release supervision outside of the priority population that received services.
 - 1,292 were non-priority offenders..
- (d) The type of services provided to these populations including data on each programs utilization capacity and completion rates.

In addition to the core services of CBI and substance abuse, vendors also offered educational and vocational services, and job seeking assistance. Programs assisted offenders with resume writing and interview skills as well as provided GED preparation and educational counseling.

TECS utilization rates are based on the program capacity and average daily population. Programs serve 75% of the target population with cognitive behavioral interventions and 25% with substance abuse services. Statewide utilization rates during the fiscal year were 95.5% for CBI and 69.7% for substance abuse services (Table 1).

Table 1. TECS Utilization Rates by Program Type during Fiscal Year 2014-15

Utilization Rates by Program Type					
CBI Capacity	7,833				
CBI Capacity Utilization Rate (%)	95.5%				
SA Capacity	2,033				
SA Capacity Utilization Rate (%)	69.7%				

During FY 2014-2015, 43% of offenders successfully completed cognitive behavioral group therapy and 50.1% completed substance abuse services (Table 2).

Table 2. Statewide Completion Rates by Program Type

Program	Completion Rate
Substance Abuse Treatment	50.1%
CBI	43%

(e) The rate of revocations and the educational progress and employment status of people who received services.

Table 3 shows the percentage of offenders revoked within 6 months of their last attendance date and those revoked between 6 and 12 months of their last program attendance date.

Table 3. Statewide Revocation Rates by Program Type

Program Type	Revoked less than 6 months from last program attendance date	Revoked between 6 and 12 months of last program attendance date
CBI	7.6%	4.4%
Substance Abuse Treatment	2.5%	1.0%

TECS providers record the offender's employment status at intake as well as upon exit from the program. During FY 2014-2015, 40.8% of TECS offenders were employed upon exit compared to 36.9% upon entry. Table 4 shows the reported employment status at intake and exit.

Table 4. Employment Status of Offenders at Entry and Exit from TECS

	Employme	ent Status at Entry	Employment Status at Exit		
Employed	2,767	36.9%	3,060	40.8%	
Unemployed	4,726	63.1%	4,433	59.2%	
Total	7,493		7,493		

During FY 14-15, 314 offenders that exited TECS core services participated in educational programs in 17 counties. These services include GED, College, educational counseling, and life skills training. Over half of the offenders assigned to one of these programs completed the program. (Table 5).


<u>Table 5. Educational Program Completion during Fiscal Year</u> 2014-2015

		Successful Completion	
Educational Program	Total Exits	Count	Percent
High School Equivalency	<u>55</u>	20	36.4%
Life Skills Training	<u>61</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>67.2%</u>
College	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.3%
Educational Counseling	<u>195</u>	147	<u>75.4%</u>
Total	<u>314</u>	209	66.6%

(f) Other measures as determined appropriate.

Figure 1 shows a graphic of the number of CBI sessions attended for offenders that completed CBI. Approximately 55% of offenders who completed CBI attended the minimum number of sessions required. The remaining 45% did not. This percentage was a clear indicator that retention in services was not occurring and is part of the basis for moving to a more performance based contract where vendors are compensated based on ongoing offender engagement.





3. The dollar amount needed to provide additional services to meet the needs of the priority population in the upcoming budget year.

The priority population is estimated at 127,711. DACJJ targeted 75% or 95,783 for services; using the average cost, we would need approximately \$67.7M. Since we recognize that this amount is not feasible, we are focusing on improving vendor engagement and completion rates for those priority offenders who are referred for services in addition to maximizing the dollars currently allocated for these services. Based on current funding levels, this allows for a small percentage of the priority population (using 75% penetration rate) to be served, and requires officers to make decisions about ensuring that the offenders in greatest need are referred to services.

4. Details of personnel, travel, contractual, operating, and equipment expenditures for each program type.

TECS funding allows a maximum administrative rate of 15%. A total of \$6,692,604 in TECS funding was expended during FY 2014-2015. Of that total \$702,717 was billed for administrative costs by the 32 vendors. The awarded contract amounts were well above the program capacity for the majority of vendors. Although the referral process has continuously improved over the past four years to where most vendors received more referrals in FY 2014-2015 than the contracted number, the lack of engagement and thus low completion rates of offenders contributes to lower expenditure rates when compared to contract award amounts. Appendix B shows FY 2014-2015 expenditures by county and vendor.

Summary

During FY 2014-2015 program enrollment increased throughout the year. TECS providers served 8,395 offenders who received cognitive behavioral interventions (CBI) and/or substance abuse services. In addition to the core services, offenders participated in educational and vocational programs.

The Department has continually evaluated capacity to accurately track offender participation and progress through the programs in the Program Information Management System (PIMS) database. The automation of the TECS referral process has reduced the time between referral, program intake, and receipt of services thereby increasing the opportunity for offender completion. This process has improved communication and information sharing between the assessing agency (TASC), Community Corrections, and TECS providers further strengthening the continuum of care for the TECS priority population of high risk offenders. The initial three year contract period was extended for two additional months and ended in August 2015.

A definite challenge during the past three years has been keeping the high risk offender engaged in services. The data revealed that of the offenders who were referred and completed services in FY 2014-2015, roughly half remained engaged past the minimum 90 day requirement. Correctional research and practice dictates that in order for the program to be effective and have an impact on recidivism, offenders must remain engaged for a longer period of time and receive the appropriate dosage of services. In order to improve retention rates and appropriate treatment dosage, the Department released a new performance based Request for Proposal (RFP) for services for FY 2015-2018 where vendor compensation will be based on achieving established milestones for services from intake through completion. Inevitably this will be a new approach for some of the current vendors and as such, the Department remains committed to working with the vendor community to provide impactful services that reduce recidivism to the high risk offender population.

Last year, the Department reviewed the Community Treatment programs funding needs to serve offenders under community supervision. As a result of this review, the Department identified the Treatment for Effective Community Supervision (TECS) as the umbrella funding source for various community-based programs with the Broaden Access to Community Treatment (BACT) funds included in this total.

Since 2011, vendors providing community treatment services have been selected through a competitive bid process. On average, this procurement process has taken about 6 months to complete, thus there have been delays in expending funds in a given fiscal year. Additionally, since this process results in a contract rather than a grant, the Department must follow specific and sometimes constraining procurement rules to distribute funding to a contracted vendor. The

lack of flexibility in how funds can be or are distributed has also led to delays in releasing funds or re-distributing funds among vendors before the end of the fiscal year.

In an effort to be good stewards of this funding while providing effective services in an efficient manner, the Department is currently exploring options to modify the current distribution mechanism. We firmly believe that we can achieve positive outcomes by holding vendors accountable through performance measures, but also utilize a larger percentage of the funding by year end. Over the past few years, the rate of actual expenditures for TECS funding has steadily increased from 34% in FY 12-13 to 55% in FY 13-14 to 68% in FY 14-15.

In addition to funding Community Treatment programs (previously referred to as TECS, and now called Recidivism Reduction Service or RRS), TECS funding is used for the following community-based programs: Confinement in Response to Violation (CRV) core programming services, Transitional Housing, Community Intervention Centers (CIC), and Local Reentry Councils (LRCs). We have recently started tracking these programs separately, by individual cost centers, so we can have more accurate financial for each program, and allow for adjustments between programs during the fiscal year.

Appendix A 2014-2015 TECS AWARDS

		AWARDS				
County	Vendor	СВІ	SA	ADM	TOTAL	
Alamance	Psychotherapeutic Svc	\$ 153,600	\$ 119,900	\$ 41,025.00	\$314,525.00	
Alexander	Alexander County	\$ 33,495	\$ 9,200	\$ 5,551.00	\$ 48,246.00	
Alleghany	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 15,080	\$ 2,058	\$ 2,227.92	\$ 19,365.92	
Anson	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 32,045	\$ 3,150	\$ 4,575.00	\$ 39,770.00	
Ashe	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 26,390	\$ 3,105	\$ 3,834.87	\$ 33,330.00	
Avery	Crossroads Recovery	\$ 25,440	\$ 14,312	\$ 5,168.00	\$ 44,920.00	
Beaufort	Beaufort Co Finance	\$ 54,180	\$ 15,115	\$ 6,929.55	\$ 76,225.00	
Bertie	Northampton County	\$ 20,736	\$ 6,036	\$ 3,481.00	\$ 30,253.00	
Bladen	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 22,486	\$ 9,072	\$ 3,786.84	\$ 35,344.84	
Brunswick	Journeys	\$ 66,948	\$ 13,464	\$ 8,846.28	\$ 89,258.28	
Buncombe	Buncombe Co. Justice Res.	\$ 144,704	\$ 34,860	\$ 17,956.00	\$197,520.00	
Burke	Clay, Wilson & Assoc.	\$ 73,440	\$ 20,550	\$ 8,459.00	\$102,449.00	
Cabarrus	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 239,112	\$ 60,516	\$ 26,967.00	\$326,595.00	
Caldwell	Hidden Springs Counseling Ctr	\$ 57,600	\$ 29,570	\$ 8,717.00	\$ 95,887.00	
Camden	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Carteret	Carteret Comm. College	\$ 54,600	\$ 24,894	\$ 11,924.50	\$ 91,418.50	
Caswell	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 7,904	\$ 5,390	\$ 1,196.79	\$ 14,490.79	
Catawba	Clay, Wilson & Assoc.	\$ 83,232	\$ 26,800	\$ 8,803.00	\$118,835.00	
Chatham	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 32,301	\$ 10,241	\$ 5,956.00	\$ 48,498.00	
Cherokee	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 16,254	\$ 4,500	\$ 2,282.94	\$ 23,036.94	
Chowan	RF Lee DWI Assess	\$ 31,200	\$ 8,820	\$ 6,003.00	\$ 46,023.00	
Clay	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 11,000	\$ 1,500	\$ 875.00	\$ 13,375.00	
Cleveland	CATS Inc	\$ 29,025	\$ 10,025	\$ 5,858.00	\$ 44,908.00	
Columbus	Rouse Counseling & Consulting	\$ 29,970	\$ 17,160	\$ 6,598.40	\$ 53,728.40	
Craven	Coastal Horizons	\$ 92,185	\$ 8,322	\$ 10,051.57	\$110,559.00	
Cumberland	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 88,934	\$ 32,238	\$ 14,540.79	\$135,713.36	
Currituck	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Dare	LeChris Counseling Svc	\$ 28,080	\$ 11,340	\$ 4,731.00	\$ 44,151.00	
Davidson	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 99,792	\$ 12,320	\$ 16,817.00	\$128,929.00	
Davie	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 38,535	\$ 4,656	\$ 6,478.70	\$ 49,669.70	
Duplin	Connolly Counseling Inc	\$ 97,025	\$ 90,393	\$ 28,113.61	\$215,531.94	
Durham	Durham County CJRC	\$ 151,844	\$ 107,250	\$ 25,909.73	\$285,004.00	
Edgecombe	Changing Seasons Treatment Svc	\$ 127,551	\$ 51,846	\$ 26,908.44	\$206,305.29	
Forsyth	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 165,240	\$ 34,880	\$ 30,018.00	\$230,138.00	
Franklin	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 15,092	\$ 6,288	\$ 2,351.80	\$ 23,731.80	
Gaston	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 208,116	\$ 60,230	\$ 24,151.28	\$292,497.14	
	,	, ,				
Gates	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

Graham	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 11,800	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,330.00	\$ 14,630.00
Granville	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 32,301	\$ 10,241	\$ 5,956.00	\$ 48,498.00
Greene	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Guilford	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 331,344	\$ 113,646	\$ 40,048.90	\$485,038.90
Halifax	Northampton County	\$ 62,752	\$ 16,120	\$ 7,888.00	\$ 86,760.00
Harnett	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Haywood	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 39,900	\$ 9,000	\$ 4,401.00	\$ 53,301.00
Henderson	Family Preservation Svcs	\$ 87,000	\$ 32,790	\$ 17,969.00	\$137,759.00
Hertford	Northampton County	\$ 28,152	\$ 6,036	\$ 4,445.08	\$ 38,633.08
Hoke	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 31,230	\$ 16,741	\$ 5,756.50	\$ 53,727.60
Hyde	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Iredell	Appropriate Placement Options	\$ 81,780	\$ 44,700	\$ 13,913.50	\$140,393.50
Jackson	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 30,360	\$ 4,480	\$ 4,181.20	\$ 39,021.20
Johnston	Essential Supportive Svcs	\$ 137,269	\$ 31,549	\$ 25,323.00	\$194,141.00
Jones	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lee	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 41,611	\$ 17,712	\$ 7,119.01	\$ 66,441.96
Lenoir	Coastal Horizons	\$ 107,255	\$ 9,006	\$ 11,626.50	\$127,887.00
Lincoln	CATS Inc	\$ 48,195	\$ 9,275	\$ 8,621.00	\$ 66,091.00
Macon	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 27,000	\$ 4,480	\$ 3,148.00	\$ 34,628.00
Madison	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 25,200	\$ -	\$ 3,276.00	\$ 28,476.00
Martin	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
McDowell	Crossroads Recovery	\$ 63,600	\$ 31,940	\$ 14,331.00	\$109,871.00
Mecklenburg	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 335,556	\$ 91,884	\$ 38,469.96	\$465,909.96
Mitchell	Crossroads Recovery	\$ 16,800	\$ 3,716	\$ 2,462.40	\$ 22,978.00
Montgomery	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 47,125	\$ 9,540	\$ 7,366.90	\$ 64,031.90
Moore	County of Moore	\$ 88,800	\$ 31,518	\$ 13,235.86	\$133,553.86
Nash	Changing Seasons Treatment Svc	\$ 160,889	\$ 43,043	\$ 30,589.68	\$234,522.30
New Hanover	Coastal Horizons	\$ 244,918	\$ 94,193	\$ 33,911.00	\$373,022.00
Northampton	Northampton County	\$ 19,712	\$ 4,704	\$ 3,663.00	\$ 28,079.00
Onslow	Pretrial Resource Ctr	\$ 128,000	\$ 62,010	\$ 20,901.30	\$210,911.30
Orange	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 60,480	\$ 42,932	\$ 12,409.40	\$115,821.40
Pamlico	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Pasquotank	LeChris Counseling Svc	\$ 33,930	\$ 20,565	\$ 6,540.00	\$ 61,035.00
Pender	Coastal Horizons	\$ 52,374	\$ 14,718	\$ 6,709.00	\$ 73,801.00
Perquimans	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Person	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 30,424	\$ 11,491	\$ 5,448.43	\$ 47,363.33
Pitt	PORT Human Svcs	\$ 79,170	\$ 60,470	\$ 18,153.20	\$157,793.20
Polk	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 16,800	\$ -	\$ 2,184.00	\$ 18,984.00
Randolph	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 145,800	\$ 35,640	\$ 16,329.60	\$197,769.60
Richmond	Integrated Behavorial Health	\$ 57,463	\$ 13,608	\$ 8,528.34	\$ 79,599.54
Robeson	Robeson County Admin.	\$ 48,600	\$ 75,915	\$ 11,206.75	\$135,722.20

Rockingham	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 63,099	\$ 13,920	\$ 11,553.00	\$ 88,572.00
Rowan	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 197,784	\$ 58,712	\$ 23,085.52	\$279,581.52
Rutherford	Family Preservation Svcs	\$ 90,000	\$ 36,300	\$ 18,945.00	\$145,245.00
Sampson	Connolly Counseling Inc	\$ 51,772	\$ 34,713	\$ 12,973.00	\$ 99,458.00
Scotland	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 82,824	\$ 23,869	\$ 12,802.44	\$119,495.14
Stanly	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 28,275	\$ 3,430	\$ 4,122.00	\$ 35,827.00
Stokes	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 35,438	\$ 10,080	\$ 6,827.80	\$ 52,345.80
Surry	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 53,865	\$ 13,910	\$ 10,166.50	\$ 77,941.50
Swain	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 20,020	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,936.80	\$ 23,456.80
Transylvania	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 43,875	\$ -	\$ 5,703.75	\$ 49,579.00
Tyrrell	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Union	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 107,445	\$ 17,836	\$ -	\$125,281.00
Vance	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Wake	Fellowship Health Resouces	\$ 209,375	\$ 119,306	\$ 49,302.50	\$377,983.50
Warren	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 7,840	\$ 4,980	\$ 1,154.00	\$ 13,974.00
Washington	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Watauga	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 31,500	\$ -	\$ 4,095.00	\$ 35,595.00
Wayne	Connolly Counseling Inc	\$ 75,625	\$ 96,918	\$ 25,881.40	\$198,424.40
Wilkes	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 53,406	\$ 5,760	\$ 8,875.00	\$ 68,041.00
Wilson	Changing Seasons Treatment Svc	\$ 115,097	\$ 43,671	\$ 23,816.62	\$182,584.77
Yadkin	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 40,005	\$ 5,760	\$ 6,865.30	\$ 52,630.30
Yancey	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 16,800	\$ -	\$ 2,184.00	\$ 18,984.00
TOTAL		6,450,770.81	2,335,831.50	1,034,826.15	9,821,428.46

Appendix B 2014-2015 TECS EXPENDITURES

		EXPENDITURES			
County	Vendor	СВІ	SA	ADM	TOTAL
Alamance	Psychotherapeutic Svc	\$ 62,280	\$ 104,885	\$ 25,074.75	\$192,239.75
Alexander	Alexander County	\$ 13,650	\$ 8,560	\$ 2,887.30	\$ 25,097.30
Alleghany	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 6,760	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,076.27	\$ 9,355.27
Anson	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 16,380	\$ 810	\$ 2,234.70	\$ 19,424.70
Ashe	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 18,915	\$ 2,430	\$ 2,774.85	\$ 24,119.85
Avery	Crossroads Recovery	\$ 25,440	\$ 2,918	\$ 3,686.54	\$ 32,044.54
Beaufort	Beaufort Co Finance	\$ 53,700	\$ 14,785	\$ 6,848.50	\$ 75,333.50
Bertie	Northampton County	\$ 13,356	\$ 2,716	\$ 2,089.36	\$ 18,161.36
Bladen	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 12,783	\$ 5,184	\$ 2,156.10	\$ 20,123.58
Brunswick	Journeys	\$ 66,948	\$ 8,064	\$ 8,251.32	\$ 83,263.32
Buncombe	Buncombe Co. Justice Res.	\$ 115,824	\$ 5,730	\$ 12,155.40	\$133,709.40
Burke	Clay, Wilson & Assoc.	\$ 41,140	\$ 19,200	\$ 5,430.60	\$ 65,770.60
Cabarrus	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 151,413	\$ 30,586	\$ 16,379.91	\$198,378.91
Caldwell	Hidden Springs Counseling Ctr	\$ 37,500	\$ 25,290	\$ 6,279.00	\$ 69,069.00
Camden	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Carteret	Carteret Comm. College	\$ 40,850	\$ 14,555	\$ 8,310.75	\$ 63,715.75
Caswell	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 7,904	\$ 826	\$ 785.70	\$ 9,515.70
Catawba	Clay, Wilson & Assoc.	\$ 23,460	\$ 25,625	\$ 3,926.80	\$ 53,011.80
Chatham	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 11,907	\$ -	\$ 1,309.77	\$ 13,216.77
Cherokee	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 15,244	\$ 3,965	\$ 2,689.26	\$ 21,898.26
Chowan	RF Lee DWI Assess	\$ 25,725	\$ 4,190	\$ 4,487.25	\$ 34,402.25
Clay	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 4,785	\$ -	\$ 334.95	\$ 5,119.95
Cleveland	CATS Inc	\$ 16,200	\$ 3,300	\$ 2,925.00	\$ 22,425.00
Columbus	Rouse Counseling & Consulting	\$ 25,530	\$ 12,900	\$ 5,380.20	\$ 43,810.20
Craven	Coastal Horizons	\$ 92,147	\$ -	\$ 9,214.66	\$101,361.26
Cumberland	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 88,914	\$ 27,189	\$ 13,932.36	\$130,035.36
Currituck	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dare	LeChris Counseling Svc	\$ 28,080	\$ 4,875	\$ 3,954.60	\$ 36,909.60
Davidson	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 63,168	\$ 9,680	\$ 10,927.20	\$ 83,775.20
Davie	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 29,925	\$ 3,043	\$ 4,945.20	\$ 37,913.20
Duplin	Connolly Counseling Inc	\$ 93,528	\$ 81,432	\$ 26,243.93	\$201,203.48
Durham	Durham County CJRC	\$ 84,728	\$ 105,763	\$ 19,049.05	\$209,539.55
Edgecombe	Changing Seasons Treatment Svc	\$ 97,747	\$ 43,596	\$ 21,201.52	\$162,544.97
Forsyth	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 96,400	\$ 22,040	\$ 17,766.00	\$136,206.00
Franklin	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 5,544	\$ -	\$ 609.84	\$ 6,153.84
Gaston	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 108,527	\$ 46,576	\$ 13,959.27	\$169,062.27
Gates	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Graham	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 9,971	\$ -	\$ 997.10	\$ 10,968.10
Granville	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 4,244	\$ -	\$ 594.10	\$ 4,837.70
Greene	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Guilford	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 182,247	\$ 91,806	\$ 24,664.77	\$298,717.77
Halifax	Northampton County	\$ 17,020	\$ 5,024	\$ 2,204.40	\$ 24,248.40
Harnett	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Haywood	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 33,474	\$ -	\$ 3,012.66	\$ 36,486.66
Henderson	Family Preservation Svcs	\$ 33,900	\$ 17,640	\$ 7,731.00	\$ 59,271.00
Hertford	Northampton County	\$ 25,992	\$ 510	\$ 3,445.26	\$ 29,947.26
Hoke	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 31,230	\$ 14,310	\$ 5,464.80	\$ 51,004.80
Hyde	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Iredell	Appropriate Placement Options	\$ 26,250	\$ 28,965	\$ 6,073.65	\$ 61,288.65
Jackson	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 22,440	\$ -	\$ 2,692.80	\$ 25,132.80
Johnston	Essential Supportive Svcs	\$ 61,058	\$ 9,905	\$ 10,644.43	\$ 81,607.30
Jones	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lee	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 41,610	\$ 16,362	\$ 6,956.64	\$ 64,928.64
Lenoir	Coastal Horizons	\$ 107,253	\$ -	\$ 10,725.33	\$117,978.58
Lincoln	CATS Inc	\$ 42,420	\$ 7,300	\$ 7,458.00	\$ 57,178.00
Macon	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 21,900	\$ -	\$ 2,190.00	\$ 24,090.00
Madison	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 5,250	\$ -	\$ 682.50	\$ 5,932.50
Martin	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
McDowell	Crossroads Recovery	\$ 30,634	\$ 14,610	\$ 6,786.60	\$ 52,030.60
Mecklenburg	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 180,765	\$ 70,200	\$ 22,586.85	\$273,551.85
Mitchell	Crossroads Recovery	\$ 13,800	\$ 3,355	\$ 2,058.60	\$ 19,213.60
Montgomery	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 44,460	\$ 6,425	\$ 6,615.05	\$ 57,500.05
Moore	County of Moore	\$ 55,130	\$ 24,582	\$ 8,768.32	\$ 88,480.32
Nash	Changing Seasons Treatment Svc	\$ 108,518	\$ 31,494	\$ 21,001.78	\$161,013.62
New Hanover	Coastal Horizons	\$ 219,027	\$ 50,867	\$ 26,989.36	\$296,882.96
Northampton	Northampton County	\$ 16,148	\$ 672	\$ 2,523.00	\$ 19,343.00
Onslow	Pretrial Resource Ctr	\$ 128,000	\$ 51,330	\$ 19,726.30	\$199,056.30
Orange	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 36,360	\$ 34,609	\$ 8,516.28	\$ 79,485.28
Pamlico	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Pasquotank	LeChris Counseling Svc	\$ 33,930	\$ 18,453	\$ 6,285.96	\$ 58,668.96
Pender	Coastal Horizons	\$ 28,392	\$ 6,547	\$ 3,493.90	\$ 38,432.90
Perquimans	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Person	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 25,985	\$ 6,240	\$ 4,189.30	\$ 36,414.70
Pitt	PORT Human Svcs	\$ 41,280	\$ 39,340	\$ 10,480.60	\$ 91,100.60
Polk	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Randolph	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 144,090	\$ 26,595	\$ 15,361.65	\$186,046.65
Richmond	Integrated Behavorial Health	\$ 46,054	\$ 12,312	\$ 7,003.90	\$ 65,369.74
Robeson	Robeson County Admin.	\$ 21,330	\$ 66,885	\$ 7,939.35	\$ 96,154.35

Rockingham	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 43,255	\$ 11,080	\$ 8,150.25	\$ 62,485.25
Rowan	Geo Re-entry Svcs	\$ 155,349	\$ 47,642	\$ 18,269.19	\$221,260.19
Rutherford	Family Preservation Svcs	\$ 23,500	\$ 20,530	\$ 6,604.50	\$ 50,634.50
Sampson	Connolly Counseling Inc	\$ 51,716	\$ 19,227	\$ 10,641.49	\$ 81,584.77
Scotland	Integrated Behavioral Health	\$ 65,760	\$ 17,604	\$ 10,003.63	\$ 93,367.23
Stanly	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 25,935	\$ 1,715	\$ 3,594.50	\$ 31,244.50
Stokes	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 32,025	\$ 6,624	\$ 5,797.35	\$ 44,446.35
Surry	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 53,865	\$ 10,177	\$ 9,606.30	\$ 73,648.30
Swain	Appalachian Comm. Svcs	\$ 12,935	\$ -	\$ 1,164.15	\$ 14,099.15
Transylvania	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 43,875	\$ -	\$ 5,703.75	\$ 49,578.75
Tyrrell	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Union	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 75,400	\$ 12,054	\$ -	\$ 87,454.00
Vance	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Wake	Fellowship Health Resouces	\$ 124,200	\$ 76,734	\$ 30,140.05	\$231,073.69
Warren	Freedom House Recovery Ctr	\$ 1,505	\$ -	\$ 135.45	\$ 1,640.45
Washington	No Vendor Awarded	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Watauga	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 11,550	\$ -	\$ 1,501.50	\$ 13,051.50
Wayne	Connolly Counseling Inc	\$ 32,675	\$ 60,378	\$ 13,957.95	\$107,010.95
Wilkes	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 22,532	\$ 4,920	\$ 4,117.80	\$ 31,569.80
Wilson	Changing Seasons Treatment Svc	\$ 97,107	\$ 32,841	\$ 19,492.20	\$149,440.20
Yadkin	Piedmont Triad Regional Council	\$ 39,585	\$ 4,860	\$ 6,666.75	\$ 51,111.75
Yancey	Partnership for a Drug Free NC	\$ 15,600	\$ -	\$ 2,028.00	\$ 17,628.00
TOTAL		4,364,933.22	1,624,954.28	702,716.96	6,692,604.46